ED 392 442 IR 017 734

AUTHOR Heaviside, Sheila; And Others

TITLE Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Elementary

and Secondary Schools, 1995. E.D. Tabs.

INSTITUTION Westat, Inc., Rockville, MD.

SPONS AGENCY National Center for Education Statistics (ED),

Washington, DC.

REPORT NO ISBN-0-16-048552-5; NCES-95-854

PUB DATE Feb 96

NOTE 63p.; For a related report, see ED 378 959.

AVAILABLE FROM U.S. Government Printing Office, Superintendent of

Documents, Mail Stop: SSOP, Washington, DC

20402-9328.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical

Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Computer Uses in Education; *Educational Equipment;

Educational Planning; Elementary Secondary Education;

*Information Networks; Information Services;

Information Technology; Internet; *Public Schools;

Surveys; Tables (Data); *Telecommunications

IDENTIFIERS Wide Area Networks

ABSTRACT

In response to the federal goal to connect all of the nation's school classrooms, libraries, hospitals, and law enforcement agencies to the information superhighway, the U.S. Department of Education commissioned a survey to obtain current data to compare with baseline data (obtained in 1994) on the status of advanced telecommunications in public elementary and secondary schools. Data was gathered in the fall of 1995 from a nationally representative sample of 917 schools regarding the types and location of advanced telecommunications equipment; services currently available; current computer networking capabilities; plans to connect to wide area networks; the formal role groups have in developing telecommunications plans; and barriers that limit acquisition or use of advanced telecommunications. This report contains tabular summaries (16 data tables, 16 standard error tables in Appendix A, and four reference tables in Appendix B) that highlight selected findings based on the data collected. The tables present data for public schools and for schools by instructional level, size of enrollment, metropolitan status, and geographic region of the country. Appendices also include a glossary of terms, the survey methodology and data reliability, the survey instrument, and background information. (AEF)

^{*} from the original document.



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E.D. TABS

February 1996

Advanced
Telecommunications in
U.S. Public Elementary
and Secondary Schools,
1995

Fast Response Survey System

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- CENTEH (EHIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

[⋆]U.S. Department of Education ^{†/⋆}
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 96-854

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E.D. TABS

February 1996

Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, 1995



Sheila Heaviside Elizabeth Farris Westat, Inc.

Gerald Malitz
National Center for Education Statistics

Judi Carpenter
Project Officer
National Center for Education Statistics

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 96-854



U.S. Department of Education Richard W. Riley

Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Sharon P. Robinson Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Jeanne E. Griffith
Acting Commissioner

Paul Planchon
Associate Commissioner

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) is the primary federal entity for collecting, analyzing, and reporting data related to education in the United States and other nations. It fulfills a congressional mandate to collect, collate, analyze, and report full and complete statistics on the condition of education in the United States; conduct and publish reports and specialized analyses of the meaning and significance of such statistics; assist state and local education agencies in improving their statistical systems; and review and report on education activities in foreign countries.

NCES activities are designed to address high priority education data needs; provide consistent, reliable, complete, and accurate indicators of education status and trends; and report timely, useful, and high quality data to the U.S. Department of Education, the Congress, the states, other education policymakers, practitioners, data users, and the general public.

We strive to make our products available in a variety of formats and in language that is appropriate to a variety of audiences. You, as our customer, are the best judge of our success in communicating information effectively. If you have any comments or suggestions about this or any other NCES product or report, we would like to hear from you. Please direct your comments to:

National Center for Education Statistics
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
U.S. Department of Education
555 New Jersey Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20208–5574

February 1996

Contact: Judi Carpenter (202) 219-1333



Table of Contents

| Section | | Page |
|-------------------|--|------|
| Introduction | | 1 |
| Selected Findings | | 3 |
| Tables | | 6 |
| 1 | Number and percent of responding public schools in the study sample and estimated number and percent of public schools the sample represents, by school characteristics: 1995 | 6 |
| 2 | Percent of public schools having access to selected telecommunications capabilities and the specific location of telecommunications within the school, by capability: 1995 | 7 |
| 3 | Percent of public schools having access to various types of computer networks in fall 1994 and fall 1995 | 8 |
| 4 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet and the percent of all instructional rooms across the country with Internet access in fall 1994 and fall 1995, by school characteristics | 9 |
| 5 | Mean number of computers in public schools and the percent of all computers in public schools across the country with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 | 10 |
| 6 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number of instructional rooms with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 | 11 |
| 7 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number and mean number of computers with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 | 12 |
| 8 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by various types of Internet capabilities and for whom in the school community the capability is available: 1995 | 13 |
| 9 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by the extent of wide area network use by members of the school community and by school characteristics: 1995 | 14 |
| 10 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of wide area network connection and by school characteristics: 1995 | 15 |
| 11 | Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of network administrator and by school characteristics: 1995 | 16 |
| 12 | Percent of public schools reporting the extent of the formal role that various groups have in developing the school's advanced telecommunications activities: 1995 | 17 |



| | 13 | Internet and their plans to obtain access to the Internet, by school characteristics: 1995 |
|------------|---------|---|
| | 14 | Percent of all public schools indicating the extent to which various factors are barriers to either the acquisition or the use of advanced telecommunications: 1995 |
| | 15 | Percent of public schools currently having access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to upgrading or maximizing the use of their advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995 |
| | 16 | Percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to their acquisition of advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995. |
| Appendix A | : Stand | ard Error Tables |
| | 2a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to selected telecommunications capabilities and the specific location of telecommunications within the school, by capability: 1995 |
| | 3a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to various types of computer networks in fall 1994 and fall 1995 |
| | 4a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet and the percent of all instructional rooms across the country with Internet access in fall 1994 and fall 1995, by school characteristics |
| | 5a | Standard errors of the mean number of computers in public schools and the percent of all computers in public schools across the country with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 |
| | 6a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number of instructional rooms with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 |
| | 7a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number and mean number of computers with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995 |
| | 8a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by various types of Internet capabilities and for whom in the school community the capability is available: 1995 |
| | 9a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by the extent of wide area network use by members of the school community and by school characteristics: 1995 |
| | 10a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of wide area network connection and by school characteristics: 1995 |
| | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |



G

| 1 | 1 | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of network administrator and by school characteristics: 1995 |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | | Standard errors of the percent of public schools reporting the extent of the formal role that various groups have in developing the school's advanced telecommunications activities: 1995 |
| 1 | | Standard errors of the percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet and their plans to obtain access to the Internet, by school characteristics. 1995 |
| 1 | 4a | Standard errors of the percent of all public schools indicating the extent to which various factors are barriers to either the acquisition or the use of advanced telecommunications: 1995 |
| 1 | 15a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools currently having access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to upgrading or maximizing the use of their advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995 |
| | 16a | Standard errors of the percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet, by the extent to which various factors are barriers to their acquisition of advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995 |
| Appendix B: | Refere | nce Tables |
| | 1061 | Percent of public schools having access to any wide area network, by type of connection and by school characteristics: 1994 |
| | 10 b 2 | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to any wide arch network, by type of connection and by school characteristics: 1994. |
| | 12b1 | Percent of public schools having access to any wide area network by the extent of the formal role in developing the school's telecommunications program, by various groups: 1994 |
| | 1262 | Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to any wide area network by the extent of the formal role in developing the school's telecommunications program, by various groups: 1994. |
| Appendix C: Appendix D: | Surve | ary of Termsy Methodology and Data Reliabilityground Information |



Introduction

The National Information Infrastructure (NII), set forth by the President, encourages an acceleration of the goal to connect all of the nation's school classrooms, as well as libraries, hospitals, and law enforcement agencies, to the "Information Superhighway."

In response to this federal goal, the U.S. Department of Education commissioned a survey to obtain current data to compare with baseline data obtained in 1994 on the status of advanced telecommunications in public elementary and secondary schools. The survey requested information regarding the types of advanced telecommunications equipment and services that are currently available in public schools and the specific locations of the equipment; current computer networking capabilities in public schools; the number of schools that have plans to connect to wide area networks; the formal role groups have in developing telecommunications plans; and the various barriers that limit schools' acquisition or use of advanced telecommunications.

This report contains tabular summaries based on data collected from the Survey of Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12 conducted in fall 1995 for the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). The report is presented as an E.D. TAB, that is, as a collection of tables whose sole purpose is to make data or tables available to the general and research public quickly. E.D. TABS are not intended to present analyses of the data from the survey. The tabular summaries present the actual data collected, and only selected findings are highlighted in this report.

The tables in this report present data for public schools overall and for schools by instructional level (elementary, secondary), size of enrollment (less than 300, 300-999, 1,000 or more), metropolitan status (city, urban fringe, town, rural), geographic region of the country (northeast, southeast, central, west), percent minority enrollment (less than 6 percent, 6 to 20 percent, 21 to 49 percent, 50 percent or more), and the percent of students eligible for the federally funded free or reduced-price lunch program (less than 11 percent, 11 to 30 percent, 31 to 70 percent, 71 percent or more). The statistics in all tables are based on national estimates (see table 1). Any statement of comparison made in this report has been tested for statistical significance through chi-square tests or *t*-tests adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni adjustment and are significant at the .05 level or better.

The survey was conducted by Westat, Inc., a research firm in Rockville, Maryland, through the NCES Fast Response Survey System (FRSS). FRSS was designed to provide data quickly on policy-related issues regarding emerging educational developments.



ď

The data from this survey provide valuable information that federal agencies will use to measure progress and determine the tasks and activities required to help our nation's public schools move forward in obtaining and using telecommunications technology. An additional report containing detailed analyses of the findings from the survey is forthcoming, as is a report of the findings for a fall 1995 survey of advanced telecommunications in private schools.



Selected Findings

The Survey of Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12 requested current information regarding the availability and use of telecommunications and, in particular, access to the Internet, plans to obtain Internet access, use of the Internet, and barriers to the acquisition or use of advanced telecommunications. The data were gathered from a nationally representative sample of 917 public elementary and secondary schools in fall 1995. Some comparisons are made with data collected from a similarly nationally representative sample of schools during fall 1994. For definitions, please see Appendix C: Glossary of Terms.

- Fifty percent of U.S. public schools now have access to the Internet (table 3). This percentage is up from 35 percent just 1 year ago.
- Access to the Internet varies by school characteristics (table 4). Only 31 percent of schools with large proportions of students from poor families (71 percent or higher eligibility for free or reduced-price lunches) have access to the Internet, compared to 62 percent of schools with relatively few students from poor families (less than 11 percent eligibility). Access is also related to school enrollment size--from 39 percent for schools with fewer than 300 students to 69 percent for schools with 1,000 or more students. Secondary schools (65 percent) are more likely than elementary schools (46 percent) to be linked to the Internet.
- Seventy-four percent of the schools that do not currently have access to the Internet plan to obtain access in the future (table 13).
- Funding and inadequate telecommunications access points in the building were the most frequently cited barriers to acquiring or using advanced telecommunications in public schools. Fifty-five percent of schools indicated that funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications was a major barrier, and 54 percent indicated too few telecommunications access points in the building as a major barrier (table 14).
- Although half of the nation's public schools already have access to the Internet somewhere in the building and three-fourths of those without access have plans to connect, only 9 percent of all instructional rooms (classrooms, labs, and library media centers) are currently on the Internet (tables 4 and 13). This is a three-fold increase compared with fall 1994, when only 3 percent of all instructional rooms had access to the Internet.
- Public schools report an average of 72 computers including those used for both administrative and instructional purposes (table 5). However, only 14 percent of all computers in public schools across the country have Internet access. Schools with Internet access report an average of 12 computers connected to the Internet (table 7).
- Eighty-five percent of public schools have access to some kind of computer network; 77 percent have computers connected to a local area network and 61 percent have computers with wide area network access (tables 2 and 3).



. U

3

- In addition to the 50 percent of schools that are on the Internet, 11 percent have access to some other wide area network that does not connect to the Internet, and 23 percent have only a local area network (table 3).
- Public schools now are as likely to have a computer with a modem as they are to have cable television (76 percent for each; table 2). Seventy-one percent have access to broadcast television in their schools. Fewer schools have closed-circuit television (28 percent), one-way video with two-way audio or computer link (13 percent), and two-way video and audio (7 percent).
- In schools with wide area network access, it is generally found in library media centers (68 percent) and to a lesser extent in computer labs (41 percent; table 2). Only 35 percent of public schools with wide area network access report having this capability in a classroom.
- The types of telecommunications most often located in classrooms are broadcast and cable television (71 and 76 percent, respectively; table 2). Although 91 percent of schools with closed-circuit television report having it in the classroom, only 28 percent of schools have this capability.
- Schools indicate that the school district (63 percent) and teachers and other staff (38 percent) are the two groups most likely to play a large formal role in developing the school's telecommunications program (table 12). While only 7 percent indicate that parents play a large role, 31 percent cite parents as playing a moderately active role. This is up from 1994, when only 4 percent of public schools indicated that parents played a large role, with 17 percent reporting they played a moderate role (reference table 12b1).

For the 50 percent of public schools having Internet access in 1995:

- Seven percent of schools on the Internet do not have access in any instructional rooms (including computer labs, library or media centers, and classrooms), 47 percent have 1 instructional room on the Internet, 24 percent have 2-3 rooms, 4 percent report 4 rooms, and 19 percent of schools can connect to the Internet in 5 or more instructional rooms in the school (table 6).
- In fall 1994, 97 percent of schools with wide area networks (49 percent of all schools) could connect to these networks by modem; only 3 to 4 percent used higher speed connections such as T1 (3 percent), 56Kb (4 percent), or SLIP/PPP (3 percent; table 3 and reference table 10b1). By fall 1995, fewer schools with Internet access were relying on modems and the use of faster transmission connections had increased markedly. In fall 1995, 61 percent of schools were connected to wide area networks. Most schools still can connect by modem (81 percent), but 23 percent now report having a SLIP or PPP connection, 10 percent connect by a 56Kb, with 7 percent for T1, and 3 percent for ISDN (table 10).
- Of the schools with Internet access, 93 percent have e-mail, 83 percent can access resource location services, 80 percent have World Wide Web access, and 73 percent can access news groups (table 8). While e-mail is the most widely available Internet service in schools, a higher proportion of schools with other Internet services make these other services available to students. Seventy percent of schools with World Wide Web access make it available to students, 62 percent of schools with resource location services make it available to students, and students can avail themselves of news group



- services in 51 percent of the schools with news group access. Only 41 percent of schools with e-mail provide access for students.
- Twenty-eight percent of those schools with Internet access report that teachers use the wide area networks to a moderate or large extent, with 21 percent for students and 18 percent for administrators (table 9).
- High school students are more likely to use wide area networks than elementary students; 30 percent of high schools report a moderate to large extent of wide area network use by students, as compared with 17 percent of elementary schools (table 9).
- Schools manage their networks in a variety of ways. The largest percentage are managed by a part-time administrator (45 percent; table 11). Twenty-four percent indicate that someone from the district staff administers their network and 20 percent report that no single individual is responsible. Only 12 percent of schools report that their network is administered by a full-time administrator.

Table 1.--Number and percent of responding public schools in the study sample and estimated number and percent of public schools the sample represents, by school characteristics: 1995

| School | Responde | nt sample | National | estimate |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| characteristic | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| | | | | |
| All public schools | 917 | 100 | 77,853 | 100 |
| nstructional level | | | | |
| Elementary | 455 | 50 | 57,705 | 74 |
| Secondary | 421 | 46 | 18,083 | 23 |
| Combined | 41 | . 5 | 2,064 | 3 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 18! | 20 | 20,673 | 27 |
| 300 to 999 | 537 | 59 | 50,044 | 64 |
| 1,000 or more | 199 | 22 | 7,136 | 9 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | |
| City. | 208 | 23 | 17,906 | . 23 |
| Urban fringe | 230 | 25 | 18,464 | 24 |
| Town | 237 | 26 | 19,539 | 25 |
| Rural | 242 | 26 | 21,944 | 28 |
| Geographic region | | | | |
| Northeast | 162 | 18 | 13,935 | 18 |
| Southeast | 206 | 23 | 16,568 | 21 |
| Central | 269 | 29 | 23,980 | 31 |
| West | 280 | 31 | 23,369 | 30 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 266 | 31 | 23,750 | 32 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 219 | 25 | 18,036 | 24 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 192 | 22 | 15,698 | 21 |
| 50 percent or more | 194 | 22 | 16,390 | 22 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced- | | | | |
| price school lunch | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 176 | 19 | 13,192 | 17 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 284 | 31 | 21,876 | 28 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 311 | 34 | 28,017 | 36 |
| 71 percent or more | 143 | 16 | 14,651 | 19 |

NOTE: Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding, and details may not add to totals because of rounding for weighted estimates.

SGURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995.



13

Table 2.—Percent of public schools having access to selected telecommunications capabilities and the specific location of telecommunications within the school, by capability: 1995

| Telecommunications capabilities | Percent | Percent of schools reporting their telecommunications locations | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | of schools having access | Administrative offices | Teacher workrooms | Class- rooms | Computer labs | Library/ media centers | | | |
| Computers connected to a local | | | | | | | | | |
| area network | 77 | 73 | 20 | 45 | 71 | 64 | | | |
| Computer with modem | 76 | 61 | 10 | 30 | 41 | 64 | | | |
| Computer with connection or | | | | | | | | | |
| access to a wide area network. | 61 | 58 | 14 | 35 | 41 | 68 | | | |
| Broadcast television | 71 | 35 | 28 | 82 | 47 | 88 | | | |
| Cable television | 7 6 | 33 | 25 | 72 | 42 | 91 | | | |
| Closed-circuit television | 28 | 50 | 3 2 | 91 | 60 | 89 | | | |
| One-way video with two- | | | | | | | | | |
| way audio or computer link | 13 | 24 | 17 | 57 | 37 | 69 | | | |
| Two-way video and audio | 7 | 26 | 15 | 63 | 41 | 54 | | | |

NOTE: Percents of schools reporting telecommunications locations do not sum to 100 because many schools reported access in more than one location. Location estimates are based on those schools that have access to the individual type of telecommunications capability.



Table 3.--Percent of public schools having access to various types of comput - networks in fall 1994 and fall 1995

| Type of | Percent of schools having access to computer networks | | | | |
|---|---|------|--|--|--|
| computer network | 1994 | 1995 | | | |
| Any type of computer network (i.e., local area network or wide area | | | | | |
| network) | 75 | 85 | | | |
| Local area network only | 26 | 23 | | | |
| Wide area network | 49 | 61 | | | |
| Internet | 35 | 50 | | | |
| Other wide area network with no access to Internet | 14 | 11 | | | |

NOTE: Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



Table 4.--Percent of public schools having access to the Internet and the percent of all instructional rooms across the country with Internet access in fall 1994 and fall 1995, by school characteristics

| | Percent of sc | | Percent of all instructional rooms | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------|--|--|
| School characteristic | access to t | he Internet | across the country w | | | |
| | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | | |
| All public schools | 35 | 50 | 3 | 9 | | |
| nstructional level ² | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 30 | 46 | 3 | 10 | | |
| Secondary | 49 | 65 . | 4 | 8 | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 30 | 39 | 3 | 11 | | |
| 300 to 999 | 35 | 52 | 3 | 10 | | |
| 1,000 or more | 58 | . 69 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | |
| City | 40 | 47 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Urban fringe | 38 | 59 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Town | 29 | 47 | 3 | 10 | | |
| Rural | 35 | 48 | 3 | 10 | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 34 | 59 | 3 | 7 | | |
| Southeast | 29 | 44 | 2 | 6 | | |
| Central | 34 | 52 | 3 | 11 | | |
| West | 42 | 48 | 5 | 10 | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | + | 52 | + | 10 | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | + | 58 | + | 11 | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | + | 54 | + | 10 | | |
| 56 percent or more | + | 40 | + | 5 | | |
| Percent of students eligible for free or | | | | | | |
| reduced-price lunch | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | • | 62 | * | 9 | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | • | 59 | • | 10 | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | * | 47 | * | 8 | | |
| 71 percent or more | * | 31 | | 5 | | |

^{*}Data not available.

⁺Data for 1994 not published.

¹The percent of instructional rooms across the country is based upon the total number of instructional rooms (e.g., classrooms, computer labs, library/media centers) in all regular public elementary and secondary schools.

²Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.

Table 5.—Mean number of computers in public schools and the percent of all computers in public schools across the country with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| School characteristic | Mean number of all computers | Percent of all computers in public school | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Control Characteristic | in public schools l | across the country with Internet access ² | | |
| All public schools | 72 | 14 | | |
| Instructional level ³ | | | | |
| Elementary | . 60 | 13 | | |
| Secondary | 112 | 13 | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 41 | 15 | | |
| 300 to 999 | 7 1 | 15 | | |
| 1,000 or mor : | 164 | 8 | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | |
| City | 84 | 11 | | |
| Urban fringe | 83 | 13 | | |
| Town | 72 | 16 | | |
| Rural | 54 | 14 | | |
| Geographic region | | | | |
| Northeast | 63 | 15 | | |
| Southeast | . 87 | 10 | | |
| Central | 62 | 15 | | |
| West | 77 | 13 | | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 60 | 17 | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | 75 | 15 | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | 77 | 14 | | |
| 50 percent or more | 80 | 8 | | |
| Percent of students eligible for free or | | | | |
| reduced-price lunch | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 77 | 15 | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | 78 | 15 | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | 68 | 12 | | |
| 71 percent or more | 65 | 10 | | |

¹The mean number of computers is based upon the total number of computers reported by schools, including those used for administrative purposes.



1"

²The percent of computers across the country is based upon the total number of computers in all regular public elementary and secondary schools.

³Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.

Table 6.—Percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number of instructional rooms with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| | Number of instructional rooms with Internet access | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|------|-------|-------|-----------|--|--|--|
| School characteristic | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4 | 5 or more | | | |
| School characteristic | rooms | room | rooms | rooms | rooms | | | |
| | _ | 45 | 24 | 4 | 19 | | | |
| All public schools | 7 | 47 | 24 | . 4 | 19 | | | |
| Instructional level* | | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 7 | 51 | 20 | 4 | 19 | | | |
| Secondary | 6 | 39 | 33 | 5 | 17 | | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 10 | 42 | 28 | 4 | 17 | | | |
| 300 to 999 | 6 | 50 | 22 | 4 | 19 | | | |
| 1,000 or more | 5 | 40 | 30 | 5 | 20 | | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | | |
| City | 7 | 42 | 22 | 6 | 23 | | | |
| Urban fringe | 6 | 50 | 24 | 2 | 19 | | | |
| Town | 8 | 47 | 21 | 4 | . 20 | | | |
| Rural | 7 | 47 | 28 | 5 | 13 | | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 3 | 54 | 25 | ì | 17 | | | |
| Southeast | 2 | 58 | 22 | 6 | 12 | | | |
| Central | 10 | 41 | 24 | 3 | 22 | | | |
| West | 9 | 41 | 24 | 6 | 20 | | | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 12 | 43 | 25 | 3 | 18 | | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | 5 | 44 | 20 | 5 | 25 | | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | 2 | 48 | 24 | 6 | 20 | | | |
| 50 percent or more | 7 | 51 | 30 | 1 | 11 | | | |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 3 | 46 | 22 | 4 | 25 | | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | 5 | 45 | 24 | 4 | 22 | | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | 9 | 46 | 24 | 6 | 14 | | | |
| 71 percent or more | 9 | 56 | 25 | 1 | 8 | | | |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet--50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



Table 7.—Percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number and mean number of computers with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| | N | Mean number of | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|--|
| School characteristic | 1 | 2-5 | 6-9 | 10 or more | computers with | |
| | computer | computers | computers | computers | Internet access | |
| All public schools | 35 | 38 | 6 | 21 | 12 | |
| Instructional level* | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 40 | 37 | 5 | 18 | 9 | |
| Secondary | 25 | 39 · | 9 | 27 | 16 | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 34 | 42 | 8 | 16 | 7 | |
| 300 to 999 | 38 | 35 | 6 | 21 | 12 | |
| 1,000 or more | 19 | 45 | 5 | 31 | 15 | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | |
| City | 29 | 39 | 6 | 26 | 11 | |
| Urban fringe | 38 | 34 | 6 | 22 | 12 | |
| Town | 36 | 35 | 6 | 22 | 14 | |
| Rural | 36 | 42 | 6 | 16 | 9 | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 48 | 29 | 7 | 17 | 11 | |
| Southeast | 32 | 49 | 3 | 16 | 10 | |
| Central | 36 | 35 | 6 | 23 | 11 | |
| West | 27 | 40 | 8 | 26 | 12 | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 45 | 29 | 6 | 20 | 12 | |
| 6 to 20 percent | 25 | 42 | 7 | 26 | 13 | |
| 21 to 49 percent | 32 | 40 | 5 | 23 | 13 | |
| 50 percent or more | 32 | 44 | 8 | 16 | 8 | |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 29 | 38 | 6 | 26 | 14 | |
| 11 to 30 percent | 35 | 33 | 7 | 25 | 13 | |
| 31 to 70 percent | 40 | 40 | 5 | 15 | 9 | |
| 71 percent or more | 32 | 43 | 4 | 21 | 9 | |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.



NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

Table 8.—Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by various types of Internet capabilities and for whom in the school community the capability is available: 1995

| | | Members of school community with access to capability ² | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|----------|----------|--|
| Internet capabilities | Available ¹ | Administrative staff | Teachers | Students | |
| E-mail | 93 | 91 | 85 | 41 | |
| News groups | 73 | 82 | 92 | 51 | |
| Resource location services (e.g., Gopher, Archie, Veronica, etc.) | 83 | 83 | 92 | 62 | |
| World Wide Web Access (e.g., Browsers, such as Netscape, MOSAIC) | 80 | 82 | 92 | 70 | |

¹Percents in this column are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools.



²Percents in these columns are based upon the number of schools with the corresponding Internet capability.

Table 9.--Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by the extent of wide area network use by members of the school community and by school characteristics: 1995

| | Members of the school community | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| School | Adr | ninistrative | | | Teachers | | _ | Students | |
| characteristic | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate or large extent | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate or large extent | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate or large extent |
| All public schools | 27 | 55 | 18 | 11 | 61 | 28 | 32 | 47 | 21 |
| Instructional level* | | | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 29 | 55 | 16 | 13 | 64 | 23 | 35 | 48 | 17 |
| Secondary | 23 | 56 | 21 | 8 | 54 | 38 | 27 | 42 | 30 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 21 | 57 | 22 | 17 | 51 | 32 | 35 | 46 | 18 |
| 300 to 999 | 28 | 55 | 17 | 10 | 64 | 26 | 32 | 47 | 21 |
| 1,000 or more | 30 | 54 | 15 | 8 | 60 | 32 | 24 | 48 | 28 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | | | |
| City | 28 | 56 | 15 | 11 | 64 | 24 | 29 | 54 | 23 |
| Urban fringe | 31 | 52 | 17 | 9 | 63 | 28 | 26 | 51 | 21 |
| Town | 28 | 56 | 16 | 12 | 61 | 27 | 37 | 42 | 23 |
| Rural | 20 | 57 | 23 | 13 | 55 | 32 | 37 | 41 | 21 |
| Geographic region | | | | | • | | | | |
| Northeast | 33 | 54 | 13 | 11 | 67 | 22 | 35 | 44 | 21 |
| Southeast | 36 | 56 | 8 | 12 | 67 | 21 | 33 | 50 | 17 |
| Central | 2 6 | 54 | 20 | 14 | 60 | 27 | 27 | 51 | 22 |
| West | 18 | 57 | 26 | 8 | 54 | 38 | 34 | 42 | 24 |
| Percent minority carollment | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 26 | 54 | 20 | 21 | 53 | 26 | 36 | 45 | 19 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 24 | 54 | 22 | 5 | 62 | 33 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 31 | 56 | 13 | 4 | 74 | 22 | 31 | 46 | 23 |
| 50 percent or more | 26 | 60 | 14 | 12 | 57 | 31 | 36 | 49 | 16 |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 25 | 57 | 18 | 10 | 57 | 33 | 24 | 47 | 30 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 25 | 50 | 24 | 8 | 63 | 29 | 27 | 49 | 24 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 30 | 59 | 11 | 16 | 60 | 24 | 41 | 45 | 14 |
| 71 percent or more | 26 | 56 | 18 | 10 | 62 | 28 | 33 | 48 | 19 |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.



NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995.

Table 10.--Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of wide area network connection and by school characteristics: 1995

| School | | Туре о | f network conne | ction | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-------|------|
| characteristic | Modem | SLIP/PPP | 56Kb | Tl | ISDN |
| | | | | | |
| All public schools | 81 | 23 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Instructional level* | | | | | |
| Elementary | 82 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| Secondary | 77 | 28 | 13 | 8 | 3 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | |
| T 4h 200 | 85 | 18 | 9 | i | 4 |
| Less than 300 | 81 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 2 |
| 300 to 999 | 76 | . 30 | 10 | 12 | 3 |
| 1,000 or more | 70 | 50 | •• | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | |
| City | 83 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| Urban fringe | 80 | 23 | 9 | . 7 | 2 |
| Town | 82 | 24 | 13 | 6 | 0 |
| Rural | 80 | 23 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| Geographic region | | | | | |
| Northeast | 87 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 2 |
| Southeast | 86 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| Central | 78 | 32 | 10 | 7 | 1 |
| West | 76 | 17 | 14 | 7 | 3 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 75 | 28 | . 14 | 5 | , 3 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 80 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 1 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 90 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| 50 percent or more | 82 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| 50 percent of more | 02 | •• | • | | |
| Percent of students eligible for free | | | | | |
| or reduced-price lunch | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 75 | 27 | 18 | 6 | () |
| 11 to 30 percent | 75 | 29 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 90 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| 71 percent or more | 80 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 10 |

⁽⁻⁾ Less than 0.5 percent.

NOTE. Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools. Percents do not sum to 100 because some schools reported more than one type of connection.



^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

Table 11.—Percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of network administrator and by school characteristics: 1995

| | Type of network administrator | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| School characteristic | Full-time network admin- istrator | Part-time network admin- istrator | No single individual | District staff | | |
| All public schools | 12 | 45 | 20 | 24 | | |
| Instructional level* | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 11 13 | 41 52 | 22 16 | 26 19 | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 300 to 999 1,000 or more Metropolitan status | 11 13 11 | 51 43 43 | 16 20 22 | 21 24 24 | | |
| CityUrban fringeTownRural | 7 9 23 9 | ,42 43 41 52 | 24 19 14 22 | 27 28 22 17 | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | |
| Northeast Southeast West Percent minority enrollment | 13 10 13 11 | 46 38 47 45 | 23 25 17 17 | 18 27 23 27 | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 15 14 8 6 | 46 41 42 53 | 24 18 19 20 | 15 27 32 21 | | |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced- price lunch | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | | 40 43 49 45 | 23 18 17 25 | 26 21 24 25 | | |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.



NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

Table 12.—Percent of public schools reporting the extent of the formal role that various groups have in developing the school's advanced telecommunications activities: 1995

| Various groups | Small or no extent | Moderate extent | Large extent |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Students | 86 | 13 | 2 |
| Teachers/staff | 25 | 37 | 38 |
| Parents | 63 | 31 | 7 |
| School district | 13 | 24 | 63 |
| State education agency | 67 | 19 | 14 |
| Regional associations | 81 | 13 | 6 |
| Business leaders | 82 | 14 | 4 |
| Institutions of higher education | 82 | 13 | 5 |
| Other community organizations | 86 | 10 | 3 |

NOTE: Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



Table 13.--Percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet and their plans to obtain access to the Internet, by school characteristics: 1995

| | No | Planning | T | ype of access plann | .ed | No plans for |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------|------------------------------|
| School characteristics | current Internet access | Internet access in future | Direct | Other WAN | Both | future Internet access |
| All public schools | 50 | 74 | 43 | 20 | 11 | 26 |
| Instructional level* | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 54 | 72 | 44 | 20 | 9 | 28 |
| Secondary | 35 | 80 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 20 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 61 | 66 | 36 | 18 | 13 | 34 |
| 300 to 999 | 48 | 76 | 44 | 22 | 9 | 24 |
| 1,000 or more | 31 | 91 | 68 | 15 | 9 | 9 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | |
| City | 53 | 74 | 41 | 18 | 16 | 26 |
| Urban fringe | 41 | 71 | 42 | 21 | 8 | 29 |
| Town | 51 | 71 | 39 | 25 | 8 | 29 |
| Rural | 52 | 78 | 49 | 19 | 10 | 22 |
| Geographic region | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 41 | 64 | 41 | 15 | 9 | 36 |
| Southeast | 56 | 77 | 43 | 25 | 9 | 23 |
| Central | 48 | 69 | 39 | 21 | 8 | 31 |
| West | 52 | 80 | 47 | 19 | 15 | 20 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 48 | 74 | 41 | 20 | 13 | 26 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 42 | 72 | 55 | 12 | 5 | 27 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 46 | 77 | 38 | 23 | 16 | 23 |
| 50 percent or more | 60 | 68 | 40 | 20 | 8 | 32 |
| Percent of students eligible | | | | | | |
| for free or reduced-price | | | | | | |
| lunches | | | | | | • |
| Less than 11 percent | 38 | 68 | 51 | 10 | 7 | 32 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 41 | 78 | 41 | 22 | 15 | 22 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 53 | 72 | 40 | 21 | 11 | 28 |
| 71 percent or more | 69 | 75 | 44 | 23 | 7 | 2 5 |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

NOTE: Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

Table 14.—Percent of all public schools indicating the extent to which various factors are barriers to either the acquisition or the use of advanced telecommunications: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or no barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 37 | 23 | 40 |
| Lack of or poor equipment | 55 | 23 | 24 |
| Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 29 | 18 | 54 |
| Too few telecommunication access points in building | 74 | 13 | 13 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 74 | 15 | 15 |
| Lack of instructional software | 52 | 28 | 20 |
| Software too complicated to use | 79 | 15 | 6 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 44 | 26 | 30 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 45 | 19 | 36 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 40 | 22 | 37 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 48 | 27 | 25 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 74 | 15 | 11 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 39 | 33 | 29 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 70 | 24 | 5 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 36 | 36 | 28 |
| Lack of student interest | . 95 | 4 | 1 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 81 | 15 | 5 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 49 | 28 | 23 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 58 | 24 | 18 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 28 | 18 | 55 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 63 | 21 | 16 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational policy of this school | 93 | 6 | 1 |

NOTE: Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



Table 15.—Percent of public schools currently having access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to upgrading or maximizing the use of their advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or no barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| _ack of or poor equipment | 39 | 23 | 38 |
| nadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 60 | 20 | 20 |
| Foo few telecommunication access points in building | 31 | 18 | 51 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 79 | 12 | 9 |
| Lack of instructional software | 59 | 27 | 14 |
| Software too complicated to use | 83 | 13 | 4 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 37 | 26 | 37 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 48 | 23 | 29 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 45 | 25 | 31 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 49 | . 29 | 22 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 76 | 16 | 8 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 37 | 35 | 28 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 69 | 25 | 5 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 33 | 37 | 30 |
| Lack of student interest. | 95 | 4 | 1 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 83 | 12 | 4 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 45 | 31 | 23 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 55 | 26 | 19 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 33 | 18 | 49 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 68 | 18 | 14 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational policy of this school | 96 | 4 | () |

(-) Less than 0.5 percent.

NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to the Internet—50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.



Table 16.--Percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to their acquisition of advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or no barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Lack of or poor equipment | 35 | 23 | 42 |
| inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 49 | 23 | 28 |
| Too few telecommunication access points in building | 27 | 17 | 56 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 68 | 14 | 17 |
| Lack of instructional software | 45 | 30 | 26 |
| Software too complicated to use | 76 | 17 | 7 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 51 | 25 | 24 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 42 | 15 | 43 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 36 | 19 | 44 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 47 | 26 | 27 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 72 | 15 | 13 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 40 | 30 | 30 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 72 | 23 | 5 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 39 | 36 | 26 |
| Lack of student interest | 95 | 3 | 2 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 78 | 17 | 5 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 53 | 25 | 22 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 61 | 22 | 18 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 22 | 17 | 60 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 59 | 24 | 18 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational pelicy of this school | 90 | 8 | 2 |

NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools that do not have access to the Internet-50 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey of Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995.



21

Appendix A
Standard Error Tables

Table 2a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to selected telecommunications capabilities and the specific location of telecommunications within the school, by capability: 1995

| 72.1 | Percent of schools | Percent of schools reporting their telecommunications locations | | | | cations |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Telecommunications capabilities | | Administrative offices | Teacher workrooms | Class- rooms | Computer labs | Library/ media centers |
| Computers connected to a local | | | | | | |
| area network | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Computer with modem | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Computer with connection or | | | | | | |
| access to a wide area network. | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Broadcast television | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Cable television | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Closed-circuit television | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| One-way video with two- | | | | | | |
| way audio or computer link | 1.2 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Two-way video and audio | 0.8 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 |



Table 3a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to various types of computer networks in fall 1994 and fall 1995

| Type of | Percent of schools having access to computer network | | | |
|--|--|------|--|--|
| computer network | 1994 | 1995 | | |
| Any type of computer network (i.e., local area network or wide | | | | |
| area network) | 1.5 | 1.4 | | |
| Local area network only | 1.5 | 1.6 | | |
| Wide area network | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Internet | 1.5 | 1.8 | | |
| Other wide area network with no access to Internet | 1.0 | 1.3 | | |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



Table 4a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet and the percent of all instructional rooms across the country with Internet access in fall 1994 and fall 1995, by school characteristics

| School characteristic | Percent of sc | _ | Percent of all instructional rooms across the country with Internet access | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--|------|--|
| School characteristic | access to t | he Internet 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | |
| | 1994 | 1993 | 1 1774 | | |
| All public schools | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | |
| nstructional level | | | | | |
| Elementary | 1.9 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | |
| Secondary | 2.4 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 2.4 | |
| 300 to 999 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | |
| 1,000 or more | 3.0 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | |
| City | 3.1 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | |
| Urban fringe | 2.9 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | |
| Town | 2.3 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | |
| Rural | 2.7 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.8 | |
| Geographic region | | | | | |
| Northeast | 3.1 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | |
| Southeast | 3.1 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 | |
| Central | 2.8 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | |
| West | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | + | 3.3 | + | 1.8 | |
| 6 to 20 percent | + | 4.4 | + | 1.7 | |
| 21 to 49 percent | + | 4.0 | + | 2.3 | |
| 50 percent or more | + | 3.8 | + | 1.2 | |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | • | 3.5 | • | 1.6 | |
| 11 to 30 percent | • | 3.6 | • | 1.7 | |
| 31 to 70 percent | • | 2.9 | • | 1.5 | |
| 71 percent or more | • | 4.3 | • | 1.6 | |

^{*}Data not available.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



⁺Data for 1994 not published.

Table 5a.--Standard errors of the mean number of computers in public schools and the percent of all computers in public schools across the country with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| School characteristic | Mean number of all computers | Percent of all computers in public schools |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| School characteristic | in public schools | across the country with Internet access |
| All public schools | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Instructional level | | |
| Elementary | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Secondary | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| Size of enrollment | | |
| Less than 300 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| 300 to 999 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 1,000 or more | 6.5 | 1.1 |
| Metropolitan status | | |
| City | 3.5 | 1.6 |
| Urban fringe | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Town | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Rural | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| Geographic region | | |
| Northeast | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Southeast | 4.0 | 2.3 |
| Central | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| West | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 3 5 | 2.0 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| 50 percent or more | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 4.0 | 2.2 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 4.1 | 2.1 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| 71 percent or more | 3.8 | 2.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 57, 1995; "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



33

Table 6a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number of instructional rooms with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| L | Number of instructional rooms with Internet access | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|------|-------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| School characteristic | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4 | 5 or more | | |
| | rooms | room | rooms | rooms | rooms | | |
| All public schools | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | | |
| Instructional level | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.9 | | |
| Secondary | 1.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.5 | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 5.3 | | |
| 300 to 999 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.4 | | |
| 1,000 or more | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 4.1 | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | |
| City | 3.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 5.5 | | |
| Urban fringe | 2.3 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 3.4 | | |
| Town | 2.7 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 4.7 | | |
| Rural | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 1.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 3.8 | | |
| Southeast | 1.5 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | | |
| Central | 2.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 3.7 | | |
| West | 2.6 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.8 | | |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 3.0 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.5 | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | 1.9 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 4.5 | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | 1.4 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.3 | | |
| 50 percent or more | 3.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 3.8 | | |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 2.0 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 5.0 | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | 1.6 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.9 | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | 2.5 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | | |
| 71 percent or more | 4.6 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 4.5 | | |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Table 7a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet by the number and mean number of computers with Internet access, by school characteristics: 1995

| School characteristic | N | Mean number of | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2-5 computers | 6-9 computers | 10 or more computers | computers with Internet access |
| | computer_ | | | | |
| All public schools | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Instructional level | | | | | |
| Elementary | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| 300 to 999 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.0 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | |
| City | 4.5 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.7 |
| Urban fringe | 4.4 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| Town | 5.2 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 2.8 |
| Rural | 5.1 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 |
| Geographic region | | | | | |
| Northeast | 5.3 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| Southeast | 5.5 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| Central | 5.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| West | 4.2 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 1.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 4.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 4.7 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 1.9 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 5.2 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 2.7 |
| 50 percent or more | 6.3 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 5.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 2 . i |
| 31 to 70 percent | 4.3 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 71 percent or more | 7.7 | 8.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1.6 |



Table 8a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by various types of Internet capabilities and for whom in the school community the capability is available: 1995

| Internet capabilities | Available | Members of school community with access to capability | | |
|---|-----------|---|----------|----------|
| | | Administrative staff | Teachers | Students |
| E-mail | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.6 |
| News groups | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 3.1 |
| Resource location services (e.g., Gopher, Archie, Veronica, etc.) | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| World Wide Web Access (e.g., Browsers, such as Netscape, MOSAIC) | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 |

Table 9a.—Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by the extent of wide area network use by members of the school community and by school characteristics: 1995

| Sahaal | A | | _ | Members o | | l community | | Chidanta | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| School characteristic | Aan | ninistrative I | Moderate | <u> </u> | Teachers | Moderate | | Students | Moderate |
| Characteristic | Not at all | Small extent | or large extent | Not at all | Small extent | or large extent | Not at all | Small extent | or large extent |
| All public schools | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Instructional level | | | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| Secondary | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| 300 to 999 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.1 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | | | |
| City | 5.9 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 3.9 |
| Urban fringe | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4 5 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| Town | 4.9 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
| Rural | 3.6 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.6 |
| Geographic region | | | | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 5.0 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
| Southeast | 5.6 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.2 |
| Central | 3.9 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.4 |
| West | 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4 3 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 4.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 5.1 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 4.2 |
| 50 percent or more | 5.8 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.1 |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 3.8 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 3.6 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 3.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| 71 percent or more | 7.8 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 5.3 |



Table 10a.—Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of wide area network connection and by school characteristics: 1995

| School | Type of network connection | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------|-----|------|--|--|
| characteristic | Modem | SLIP/PPP | 56 K.b | Τl | ISDN | | |
| All public schools | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | | |
| • | 1,0 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | | |
| Instructional level | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | | |
| Secondary | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | | |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | | |
| 300 to 999 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | | |
| 1,000 or more | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.3 | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | |
| City | 4.4 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.3 | | |
| Urban fringe | 3.5 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | | |
| Town | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.3 | | | |
| Rural | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | | |
| Southeast | 4.7 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | | |
| Central | 3.2 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | | |
| West | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | | |
| Percent of minority enrollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.7 | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | 2.8 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.3 | | |
| 50 percent or more | 4.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.2 | | |
| Percent of students eligible for | | | | | | | |
| free or reduced-price lunch | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2.2 | (-) | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | | |
| 71 percent or more | 5.5 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 4.9 | | |

⁽⁻⁻⁾ Estimate of standard error is not derived because it is based on a statistic estimated at less than 0.5 percent or at 100 percent.



JU

Table 11a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to the Internet, by type of network administrator and by school characteristics: 1995

| | Type of network administrator | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| School characteristic | Full-time network admin- istrator | Part-time network admin- istrator | No single individual | District staff | | | |
| Air public schools | 1 5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | | | |
| instructional level | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | | | |
| Secondary | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | | | |
| Size of emollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.6 | | | |
| 300 to 999 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | | | |
| 1,000 or more | | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | | | |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | | | |
| City | 2.8 | 4.7 | 4 3 | 4.3 | | | |
| Urban fringe | 2.4 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.5 | | | |
| Town | 47 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 5.0 | | | |
| Rural | 2 2 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 4.0 | | | |
| Geographic region | | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 3.9 | 5 8 | 4.6 | 4.0 | | | |
| Southeast | | 5.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 | | | |
| Central | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | | | |
| West | 2.4 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | | | |
| Percent of minority enrollment | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 3.4 | 4 3 | 3.7 | 3.4 | | | |
| 6 to 20 percent | | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| 21 to 49 percent | _ | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | | | |
| 50 percent or more | | 7.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | | | |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced- price lunch | | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 3.3 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | | | |
| 11 to 30 percent | | 4.5 | 4.3 | 3.2 | | | |
| 31 to 70 percent | | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | | | |
| 71 percent or more | | 9.1 | 7.4 | 7.6 | | | |



Table 12a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools reporting the extent of the formal role that various groups have in developing the school's advanced telecommunications activities: 1995

| Various groups | Small or no extent | Moderate extent | Large extent |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Students | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Teachers/staff | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Parents | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| School district | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| State education agency | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Regional associations | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Business leaders | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Institutions of higher education | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Other community organizations | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 |



Table 13a.—Standard errors of the percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet and their plans to obtain access to the Internet, by school characters. 1995

| | No | Planning | Ту | pe of access plann | ned | No plans |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| School characteristics | current Internet access | net access in | Direct | Other WAN | Both | for future Internet access |
| All public schools | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| Instructional level | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
| Secondary | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 5.1 |
| 300 to 999 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.1 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 5.3 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | • | |
| City | 4.3 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| Urban fringe | 3.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 6.1 |
| Town | 3.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| Rural | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 |
| Geographic region | | | | | | |
| Northeast | 5.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 7.0 |
| Southeast | 3.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| Central | 3.3 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 5.0 |
| West | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| Percent minority enrollment | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 percent | 3.3 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 5.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| 50 percent or more | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 2 .9 | 4.4 |
| Percent of students eligible | | | | | | |
| for free or reduced-price lunches | | | | | | |
| Less than 11 percent | 3.5 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 8.6 |
| 11 to 30 percent | 3.6 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| 31 to 70 percent | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| 71 percent or more | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 4.3 |



Table 14a.—Standard errors of the percent of all public schools indicating the extent to which various factors are barriers to either the acquisition or the use of advanced telecommunications: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or | Moderate | Major |
|--|------------|----------|----------------|
| Barrier | no barrier | barrier | <u>barrier</u> |
| ack of or poor equipment | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Too few telecommunication access points in building | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Lack of instructional software | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Software too complicated to use | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Lack of student interest | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational policy of this school | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Table 15a.—Standard errors of the percent of public schools currently having access to the Internet by the extent to which various factors are barriers to upgrading or maximizing the use of their advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or no barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | , | | |
| Lack of or poor equipment | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Too few telecommunication access points in building | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Lack of instructional software | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| Software too complicated to use | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lack of student interest | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational policy of this school | 1.3 | 1.2 | () |

⁽⁻⁾ Estimate of standard error is not derived because it is based on a statistic estimated at less than 0.5 percent or at 100 percent.



Table 16a.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools that do not currently have access to the Internet, by the extent to which various factors are barriers to their acquisition of advanced telecommunications capabilities: 1995

| Barrier | Minor or no barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Lack of or poor equipment | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Too few telecommunication access points in building | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Problems with telecommunications service provider | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Lack of instructional software | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Software too complicated to use | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Lack of time in school schedule | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Telecommunications links not easily accessible | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Lack of technical support or advice | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Lack of administrative support or initiative | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Lack of or inadequately trained staff | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Lack of teacher interest | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate | | | |
| telecommunications into curriculum | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Lack of student interest | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Lack of parent or community interest | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Not enough help for supervising student computer use | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Concern about student access to inappropriate materials | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| Variability of telecommunications rates from service providers | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the | | | |
| educational policy of this school | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 |



Appendix B

Reference Tables



Table 10b1.--Percent of public schools having access to any wide area network, by type of connection and by school characteristics: 1994

| School | | | Type of connection | on | |
|----------------------|-------|----|--------------------|----------|-------|
| characteristics | Modem | Tl | 56Kb | SLIP/PPP | Other |
| All public schools | 97 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| instructional level* | | | | | |
| Elementary | 97 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Secondary | 97 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Size of enrollment | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 97 | 2 | . 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 300 to 999 | 97 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 1,000 or more | 96 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | |
| City | 97 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Urban fringe | 96 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Town | 98 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Rural | 97 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Geographic region | | | | | |
| Northeast | 98 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Southeast | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Central | 96 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| West | 97 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 |

^{*}Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there were very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.

NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to Internet or any other wide area network connection (e.g., CompuServe, America Online, Prodigy)—49 percent of public schools. Percents do not sum to 100 because some schools reported more than one type of connection.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



Table 10b2.--Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to any wide area network, by type of connection and by school characteristics: 1994

| School | | • | Type of connection | on | |
|---------------------|-------|-----|--------------------|----------|-------|
| characteristics | Modem | Tl | 56Kb | SLIP/PPP | Other |
| All public schools | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Instructional level | | | | | |
| Elementary | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Secondary | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Size of eurollment | | | | | |
| Less than 300 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 300 to 999 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| 1,000 or more | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Metropolitan status | | | | | |
| City | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Urban fringe | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| Town | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Rural | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Geographic region | | | | | |
| Northeast | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Southeast | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| Central | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| West | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 |



Table 12b1.—Percent of public schools having access to any wide area network by the extent of the formal role in developing the school's telecommunications program, by various groups: 1994

| Various groups | Small or no extent | Moderate extent | Large extent |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Students | 91 | 8 | 2 |
| Teachers/staff | 33 | 35 | 33 |
| Parents | 79 | 17 | 4 |
| District/regional administrators | 26 | 26 | 48 |
| Business leaders | 84 | 12 | 4 |
| Institutions of higher education | 81 | 14 | 5 |
| Community organizations | 88 | 8 | 4 |
| State education agency | 66 | 21 | 13 |

NOTE: Percents in this table are based upon the number of schools having access to Internet or any other wide area network connection (e.g., CompuServe, America Online, Prodigy)—49 percent of public schools. Percents may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12," FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



Table 12b2.—Standard errors of the percent of public schools having access to any wide area network by the extent of the formal role in developing the school's telecommunications program, by various groups: 1994

| Various groups | Small or no extent | Moderate extent | Large extent |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Students | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Teachers/staff | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Parents | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| District/Regional administrators | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Business leaders | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| institutions of higher education | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Community organizations | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| State education agency | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 |



Appendix C: Glossary of Terms

Terms Defined on the Survey Form

Advanced telecommunications - refers to modes of communication used to transmit information from one place to another including broadcast and interactive television, networked computers, etc.

Broadcast television - refers to network television such as NBC, CBS, etc.

Cable television - refers to subscription television such as CNN, Learning Channel, Discovery, etc.

Closed-circuit television - refers to the transmission of television on noncommercial lines (e.g., inhouse broadcast).

E-mail (Electronic mail) - refers to text messages transmitted across networks and usually accessible only by the addressee.

56Kb - refers to a digital transmission speed of 56 Kilo (thousand) bits per second.

Instructional rooms - refers to rooms in the school building used for any instructional purposes (includes classrooms, labs, media centers, art rooms, rooms used for vocational or special education, etc.).

Internet - refers to a network of networks all running the TCP/IP protocols, sharing the same underlying network address space as well as the same domain name space, and interconnected into a network of information.

ISDN (Integrated Services Digi. ' Network) - refers to data communication that integrates voice and data.

Local area network (LAN) - refers to the linkage of computers and/or peripherals (e.g., printer) confined to a limited area that may consist of a room, building, or campus that allows users to communicate and share information.

Modem - a device which connects between a computer and a phone line to translate between the digital signal of the computer and the analog signal required for telephone transmission.

News groups - electronic conferences/discussion groups similar to maillists. News group messages, called articles, are not mailed to a subscriber's e-mailbox but are distributed to a subscribing system's news server. The single copy is then accessed by all users on their network-connected machines. Each news group focuses on a subject area.

One-way video with two-way audio or two-way computer link ~ refers to the ability to transmit or receive picture in one direction with the capability to communicate in two directions (interactively) via computer or some audio method.

PPP (Point to Point Protocol) - refers to a protocol that allows a computer to use TCP/IP (Internet) protocols (and become a full-fledged Internet member) with a standard telephone line and a high speed modem. See SLIP.

SLIP (Serial Line Internet Protocol) - refers to a protocol that allows a computer to use TCP/IP (Internet) protocol using serial lines such as dial-up telephone lines. See PPP.

T1 rate - refers to a digital transmission speed of 1.544 Mega (million) bits per second.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Two-way video and audio - refers to the ability to transmit and receive picture and sound simultaneously in real time.

Wide area network (WAN) - refers to a data communications linkage designed to connect computers over distances greater than the distance transmitted by local area networks (e.g., building to building, city to city, across the country, or internationally), that allows users to communicate and share information.

World Wide Web (WWW) - refers to a system that allows access to information sites all over the world using a standard, common interface called hypertext to organize and search information. It simplifies the process of finding a site, connecting, locating the appropriate documents and downloading the information through the use of a browser (e.g., Netscape, MOSAIC).

Terms Used in the Survey Report

Archie - a research tool on the Internet for finding network host computers that have programs or data files which can be transferred to your machine.

Browsers - software application that allows the user to access a server computer on the Internet (e.g., Netscape).

Gopher - software which permits searching files on the Internet on remote hosts using layered menus. Text from these files can be read online or the files can be transferred to your computer.

MOSAIC - World Wide Web browser or client capable of accessing data via protocols such as Gopher and World Wide Web directly that will receive and display a wide variety of data types.

Netscape - a browser software application that allows the user to access a server computer on the Internet.

VERONICA (Very Easy Rodent-Oriented Net-wide Index to Computerized Archives) - an Internet search tool that does keyword searches of indexes of Gopher documents at Internet sites.

Sample Universe and Classification Variables

Common Core of Data (CCD) Public School Universe - a database containing one record for each public elementary and secondary school in the 50 states, District of Columbia, and 5 outlying areas, as reported to the National Center for Education Statistics by the State Education Agencies each year. Records on this file contain the state and federal identification numbers, name, address, and telephone number of the school, county name and codes for the state, school type, enrollment size, and other selected characteristics of the school.

Instructional level

Elementary - schools beginning with grade 6 or lower, but having no grade higher than 8.

Secondary - schools with no grade lower than 7.

Combined - all other regular schools.



Metropolitan status

City - a central city of a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA).

Urban fringe - a place within an SMSA of a large or mid-size central city and defined as urban by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Town - a place not within an SMSA, but with a population greater than or equal to 2,500, and defined as urban by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Rural - a place with a population less than 2,500 and defined as rural by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Geographic region

Northeast - Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

Southeast - Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Central - Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

West - Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.



Appendix D: Survey Methodology and Data Reliability

Sample Selection

The sampling frame for the FRSS Survey on Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12 was the 1992-93 list of public schools compiled by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). This complete file contains about 85,000 school listings and is part of the NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) School Universe. This frame includes 58,273 regular elementary schools, 20,240 secondary or combined schools in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. All regular elementary, middle, and secondary schools in the 50 states and the District of Columbia were included in the sampling frame. Special education, vocational, and alternative/other ungraded schools, schools in the outlying territories, and schools with the highest grade level below 1st grade were excluded from the frame prior to sampling. With these exclusions, the final sampling frame consisted of approximately 78,513 eligible schools.

The sample was stratified by instructional level (elementary, secondary, combined) and by geographic region (northeast, southeast, central, and west). Within each of the major strata, schools were sorted by metropolitan status (city, urban fringe, town, rural), size of enrollment (less than 300, 300 to 499, 500 to 999, 1,000 to 1,499, 1,500 or more), and percent minority enrollment (less than 5 percent, 5 to 19.9 percent, 20 to 49.9 percent, 50 percent or more). The allocation of the sample to the major strata was made in a manner that was expected to be reasonably efficient for national estimates, as well as for estimates for major subclasses.

Response Rates

In October 1995, survey instruments (see appendix G) were mailed to 1,000 public school principals. Principals were asked to forward the questionnaire to the computer or technology coordinator or to whoever was most knowledgeable about the availability and use of advanced telecommunications at the school. The accompanying instructions requested that the school complete the survey form and return it by mail. Telephone followup was conducted with schools that did not complete the survey by mail. Six schools were found to be out of the scope of the study (because of closings), leaving 994 eligible schools in the sample. Data collection was completed in December. The survey response rate was 92.2 percent (917 schools divided by the 994 eligible schools in the sample). The weighted response rate was 92.1 percent.



Comparative statements for 1994 and 1995 represent comparisons with the results of a national survey of 1,339 public schools participating in the 1994 Survey of Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12. In October 1994, survey instruments were mailed to 1,502 public school principals to be passed on to computer or technology coordinators. Unlike the current survey, however, all data were collected by telephone. Interviews were conducted from mid-October through late November 1994, achieving a 92.6 percent response rate. The weighted response rate for the 1994 survey was 93.5 percent.

Sampling and Nonsampling Errors

The responses were weighted to produce national estimates. The sample weights were the inverse probability of selection adjusted for nonresponse. The findings of this report are estimates based on the sample selected and, consequently, are subject to sampling variability.

The survey estimates are also subject to nonsampling errors that can arise because of nonobservation (nonresponse or noncoverage) errors, errors of reporting, and errors made in collection of the data. These errors may result in biased data. Nonsampling errors may include such problems as the differences in the respondents' interpretation of the meaning of the questions; memory effects; misrecording of responses; incorrect editing, coding, and data entry; differences related to the particular time the survey was conducted; or errors in data preparation. While general sampling theory can be used in part to determine how to estimate the sampling variability of a statistic, nonsampling errors are not easy to measure and, for measurement purposes, usually require that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection procedures or that data external to the study be used.

To minimize the potential for nonsampling errors, the questionnaire was pretested with school principals and computer/technology coordinators like those in the survey population. During the design of the survey and the survey pretest, an effort was made to check for consistency of it. Provetation of questions and terms and to eliminate ambiguous items or instructions. The questionnaire and instructions were extensively reviewed by the National Center for Education Statistics. Manual and machine editing of the questionnaire responses were conducted to check the data for accuracy and consistency. Cases with missing or inconsistent items were recontacted by telephone. Final item nonresponse ranged from 0.0 to 3.5 percent (for nearly all items, nonresponse rates were less than 1 percent). No items were imputed. All data were keyed with 100 percent verification.



5.1

Variances

The standard error is a measure of the variability of estimates due to sampling. It indicates the variability of a sample estimate that would be obtained from all possible samples of a given design and size. Standard errors are used as a measure of the precision expected from a particular sample. If all possible samples were surveyed under similar conditions, intervals of 1.96 standard errors below to 1.96 standard errors above a particular statistic would include the true value 95 percent of the time. For example, the estimated percentage of schools reporting that they have access to the Internet is 50 percent, and the estimated standard error is 1.8 percentage points. The 95 percent confidence interval for the statistic extends from [50 - (1.8 times 1.96)] to [50 + (1.8 times 1.96)], or from 46.5 to 53.5 percent.

Estimates of standard errors were computed using a technique known as jackknife replication, which accounts for the complexities of the sample design. As with any replication method, jackknife replication involves constructing a number of subsamples (replicates) from the full sample and computing the statistic of interest for each replicate. The mean square error of the replicate estimates around the full sample estimate provides an estimate of the variance of the statistic (see Wolter 1985, Chapter 4; see Appendix F). To construct the replication, 40 stratified subsamples of the full sample were created and then dropped one at a time to define 40 jackknife replicates. A proprietary computer program (WESVAR), available from Westat, Inc., was used to calculate the estimates of standard errors. The software runs under IBM/OS and VAX/VMS systems.



Appendix E: Background Information

The survey was conducted under contract by Westat, Inc., using the NCES Fast Response Survey System (FRSS). Westat's Project Director was Elizabeth Farris, and the Associate Project Director and Survey Manager was Sheila Heaviside. Judi Carpenter was the NCES Project Officer. The survey was requested by Linda Roberts of the U.S. Department of Education. Gerald Malitz at NCES coordinated the survey request and collaborated with Westat on the data analyses and report writing.

This report was reviewed by the following individuals:

Outside NCES

Oona Cheung, Council of Chief State School Officers

Inside NCES

- Michael Cohen, Statistical Standards and Methodology Division
- William Freund, Postsecondary Education Statistics Division
- Kerry Gruber, Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division
- Frank Johnson, Elementary Secondary Education Statistics Division
- Marilyn McMillen, Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division

For more information about the Fast Response Survey System or the Survey of Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12, contact Judi Carpenter, Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, National Center for Education Statistics, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20208-5651, telephone (202) 219-1333.



Appendix F: References

The WESVAR Procedures. 1989. Rockville, MD: Westat, Inc.

Wolter, K. 1985. Introduction to Variance Estimation. Springer-Verlag.

Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12, FRSS 51, NCES 95-731.



Appendix G: Survey Instrument



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20208-5651

ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS IN U.S. PUBLIC SCHOOLS, K-12

FAST RESPONSE SURVEY SYSTEM

This survey is authorized by law (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1). While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

DEFINITIONS

Advanced telecommunications - refers to modes of communication used to transmit information from one place to another including broadcast and interactive television, networked computers, etc.

Broadcast television - refers to network television such as NBC, CBS, etc.

Cable television - refers to subscription television such as CNN, Learning Channel, Discovery, etc.

Closed-circuit television - refers to the transmission of television on noncommercial lines (e.g., inhouse broadcast).

E-mail (Electronic mail) - refers to text messages transmitted across networks and usually accessible only by the addressee.

56Kb - refers to a digital transmission speed of 56 Kilo (thousand) bits per second.

Instructional rooms - refers to rooms in the school building used for any instructional purposes (includes classrooms, labs, media centers, art rooms, rooms used for vocational or special education, etc.).

Internet - refers to a network of networks all running the TCP/IP protocols, sharing the same underlying network address space as well as the same domain name space, and interconnected into a network of information.

ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network) - refers to data communication that integrates voice and data.

Local area network - refers to the linkage of computers and/or peripherals (e.g., printer) confined to a limited area that may consist of a room, building, or campus that allows users to communicate and share information.

Modem - a device which connects between a computer and a phone line to translate between the digital signal of the computer and the analog signal required for telephone transmission.

Newsgroups - electronic conferences/discussion groups similar to mailists. Newsgroup messages, called articles, are not mailed to a subscriber's e-mailbox but are distributed to a subscribing system's news server. The single copy is then accessed by all users on their network-connected machines. Each newsgroup focuses on a subject area.

One-way video with two-way audio or two-way computer link - refers to the ability to transmit or receive picture in one direction with the capability to communicate in two directions (interactively) via computer or some audio method.

PPP (Point to Point Protocol) - refers to a protocol that allows a computer to use TCP/IP (Internet) protocols (and become a full-fledged Internet member) with a standard telephone line and a high speed modern. See SLIP.

SLIP (Serial Line Internet Protocol) - refers to a protocol that allows a computer to use TCP/IP (Internet) protocol using serial lines such as dial-up telephone lines. See PPP.

T1 rate - refers to a digital transmission speed of 1,544 Megg (million) bits per second.

Two-way video and audio - refers to the ability to transmit and receive pictures and sound simultaneously in real time.

de area network - refers to a data communications linkage designed to connect computers over distances greater than the distance transmitted by local area networks (e.g., building to building, city to city, across the country, or internationally), that allows users to communicate and share information.

World Wide Web (WWW) - refers to a system that allows access to information sites all over the world using a standard, common interface called hypertex to organize and search information. It simplifies the process of finding a site, connecting, locating the appropriate documents and downloading the information through the use of a browser (e.g., Netscape, MOSAIC).

AFFIX LABEL HERE

| IF ABOVE INFORMATION IS INCORRECT, PLEASE MAK | E CORRECTIONS DIRECTLY ON LABEL. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Name of person completing form: | Telephone: | |
| Title/position: | Number of years at this school: | |
| Best days and times to reach you (in case of questions): | E-mail: | |
| PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO: | IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT: | |
| WESTAT | Sheila Heaviside | |
| 1850 Research Boulevard | 800-937-8281, ext. 8391 | |
| Rockviile, Maryland 20850 | Fax: 301-294-3992 | |
| Attention: 900181-Heaviside | E:mail: HEAVISS1@westat.com | |

The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather end maintain the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651.

"RSS Form No. 57, 10/95

FORM APPROVED

O.M.B. NO: 00706

EXPIRATION DATE: 12/31/95

| PLEAS | SE REFER TO DEFINITIONS ON | COVER PA | AGE F | OR W | ORDS | IN ITA | LICS. | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| I. | Telecommunications | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | What is the total number of ins (including classrooms, compute | tructional ro | oms in labs, m | this s edia c | chool? enters | lnclu , etc.). | ıde ali | room | s used Tota | for a | ny instr uctiona | uctions i room | al purpo ses s |
| 2. | What is the total number of con | nputers in thi | is scho | ol? _ | | Tota | al com | puters | ; | | | | |
| 3. | Please indicate whether or not of is located. Then provide the is centers, etc.) in which the equicolumn for each item.) | number of i | nstructi | ional i | ooms | (includ | de cla | ssroor | ns, co | mpute | er and | other I | abs, media |
| | | 1 | | 2 |] | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total number |
| | Equipment or service | Available | 1 | n | 1 | ln . | i . | n | 1 | n | 10 | 1 | instruction |
| | | at | 1 | nini- | | cher | | ISS- | 1 | puter | Libr | · . | rooms with |
| | | school | 1 | ative ces? | 1 | ork- ms? | 100 | ms? | lai | os? | cent | | service (Columns 4- |
| | a. Computers connected to a | | 1 | <u> </u> | 1.00 | | | • • • • | | • | 00.11 | 5.5. | 1001411110-4 |
| | local area network | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | b. Computer with modem | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | c. Computer with connection or access to a wide area network | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | d. Broadcast television | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | | | Yes | No | |
| | | | | | | | l | | Yes | No No | 1 | | |
| | e. Cable television | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | f. Closed-circuit television | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | . |
| | g. One-way video with two- way audio or computer link | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | h. Two-way video and audio. | Yes No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| | If you answered no to question | n 3a, colum | ın 1, ci | heck f | nere al | nd skiį | o to qu | ies tio | n 5a. | □ Ne | o local a | area ne | twork. |
| n. | Local Area Networking Ca | pabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Does your local area network (that apply.) | connect any | of the | follow | ing for | instru | ctional | and/d | or adm | | • | • | ? (Circle all |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ourpos | e | |
| | | | | | | | £ | | istrati ooses | ve i | Instruc purpo | | Neither |
| | a. Computers to a shared print | | | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| | reader) for | | | | | | | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 · |
| | b. Computers within the samec. Computers in different room | | | | | | | • • | 1 | | 2 | | 3 |
| | school campus for | = | | _ | | - | | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 |
| | d. Computers in your school w network for | rith a regiona | al, natio | onal, c | r inter | nation | al | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 |
| ш. • | Wide Area Networking Cap | pabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 a . | What type of access to the Inte | rnet does th | is scho | ool hav | /e? (C | i cle o | ne) | | | | | | |



(Skip to question 6)

(Skip to question 6)

(Skip to question 6)

No access to the Internet......

Direct access to the Internet......

(e.g., America Online, Prodigy, CONNECT, etc.).

Both direct access to the Internet and access through another wide area network. . . .

Access to the Internet through another wide area network

| Yes, direct access to the Internet is planned | l | | 1 /3 | Skip to question 5 |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Yes, access through another wide area netw | | | , | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| CompuServe, etc.) is planned | | Skip to question 5 | | |
| Yes, both direct access to the Internet and a | _ | | | |
| is planned | | | • | Skip to question t |
| No | | ····· | 4 (3 | Skip to question |
| If yes, by what year do you expect to obtain ac | cess to the Intern | et? 19 | (Skip to question | n 12) |
| Which of the following Internet resources or caeach? (Circle all that apply.) | apabilities does y | our school have | and who in your s | school has acces |
| Resource/capability | Not available | Available for administrative | Available for teachers | Available for students |
| | | staff | togoriors | Students |
| a. E-mail | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. News groups | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Resource location services (e.g., Gopher, Archie, Veronica, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. World Wide Web Access (e.g., Browsers | | | | |
| such as Netscape, MOSAIC) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Other (specify) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| How does your school connect to wide area | networks (e.g., I | internet, America | Online, Prodigy, | CompuServe, e |
| • | | | | |
| (Circle all that apply.) | T1 | | | 4 |
| • | | | ••••••• | |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem | ISDN | 1 | | 5 |
| (Circle all that apply.)1Modem | ISDN Othe | r (specify) |) | 5 |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 | ISDN Othe vide area network | Nr (specify) | (Circle one.) | 5 6 |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the w | ISDN Othe vide area network mber whose prima | N | (Circle one.) | 5 6 (stration) |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer | ISDN Othe vide area network mber whose prima y for administerin | or (specify) in your school? ary responsibility g the network | (Circle one.) is network admini | 5 6 stration) |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer A staff member with part-time responsibility | ISDN Othe vide area network mber whose prima y for administerin | or (specify) in your school? ary responsibility g the network | (Circle one.) is network admini | 5 6 stration) |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer A staff member with part-time responsibility No single individual | ISDN Other oride area network onber whose primal y for administerin crs, and students | or (specify) in your school? ary responsibility g the network | (<i>Circle one.</i>) is network admini | 5 6 stration) |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer A staff member with part-time responsibility No single individual Administered by the district To what extent do administrative staff, teached | ISDN Other oride area network onber whose primal y for administerin crs, and students | or (specify) in your school? ary responsibility g the network | (Circle one.) is network admini tworks (e.g., Inter | stration) |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer A staff member with part-time responsibility No single individual Administered by the district To what extent do administrative staff, teached | ISDN Other oride area network onber whose primal y for administerin crs, and students | In (specify) in your school? ary responsibility g the network use wide area neith item.) | (Circle one.) is network admini | stration)rnet, America Orea networks |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem 1 SLIP/PPP connection 2 56Kb 3 Who has responsibility for administering the way A full-time network administrator (staff mer A staff member with part-time responsibility No single individual Administered by the district To what extent do administrative staff, teached | ISDN Other orde area network other whose primary of administerin other ors, and students Circle one for each | in your school? ary responsibility g the network use wide area ne ch item.) Not at all | (Circle one.) is network adminitional description of two services (e.g., Interpretation of the content of the | stration)rnet, America Orea networks |
| (Circle all that apply.) Modem | ISDN Other orde area network other whose primity of or administering ors, and students Circle one for each | in your school? ary responsibility g the network use wide area ne ch item.) Not at all | (Circle one.) is network admini itworks (e.g., Inter Use wide are Small Mode extent ext | stration)rnet, America Orea networks erate Large |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



| | a. No students have access | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| | b. All students have access | | • • • • • • • • | | | | 2 |
| | c Network access is restricted to specific grades | od in or h | in | a complet | ed a com | nuter cours | J |
| | Network access is restricted to those students currently emons Network access is restricted to students in specific programs (placement, remedial, etc.) | (e.g., gift | ed a | nd talente | d, honors, | , advanced | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • | To what extent do each of the following groups have a telecommunications activities? (Circle one for each item.) | formal r | ole | in develo | | | Large |
| | | at all | | extent | ext | | extent |
| | a. Students | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | b. Teachers/Staff | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | d. School district | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | | - | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | e. State Education Agency | | | | | | 4 |
| | f. Regional associations | | | 2 | | 3 | |
| | g. Business leaders | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | h. Institutions of higher education | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | i. Other community organizations (e.g., libraries) | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | j. Other (specify) | 1 | • | 2 | | 3 | 4 |
| | Barriers | | | | | | |
| | indicate to what extent the following are barriers to upgrading of for each item.) | • | | , | | | |
| | , | | | Not a barrier | Minor barrier | Moderate barrier | Major barrier |
| | , | | | barrier | | | • |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment | | | barrier 1 | barrier | barrier | barrier |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building | | | barrier 1 1 | barrier 2 | barrier 3 | barrier 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair | | | barrier 1 1 | barrier 2 2 | barrier 3 3 | barrier 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider | | | barrier 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 | barrier 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use g. Lack of time in school schedule | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software f. Software too complicated to use g. Lack of time in school schedule h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software f. Software too complicated to use g. Lack of time in school schedule h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible i. Lack of technical support or advice | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software f. Software too complicated to use g. Lack of time in school schedule h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. | | | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telect. | ommunic | catio | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telectinto curriculum. | ommunic | catio | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telecting into curriculum. o. Lack of student interest. | ommunic | cation | barrier 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | barrier 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telection into curriculum. o. Lack of student interest. p. Lack of parent or community interest. q. Not enough help for supervising student computer use | ommunic | cation | barrier 1 | barrier 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telection into curriculum. o. Lack of student interest. p. Lack of parent or community interest. q. Not enough help for supervising student computer use | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | barrier 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| 4. | a. Lack of or poor equipment | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| 4 . 5 . | a. Lack of or poor equipment b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair c. Too few telecommunication access points in building d. Problems with telecommunications service provider e. Lack of instructional software f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff m. Lack of teacher interest n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telection into curriculum o. Lack of student interest p. Lack of parent or community interest q. Not enough help for supervising student computer use r. Concern about student access to inappropriate materials s. Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications t. Variability of telecommunications rates from service provide u. Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the expolicy of this school | ommunic | eation | barrier 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | a. Lack of or poor equipment. b. Inadequate hardware upkeep and repair. c. Too few telecommunication access points in building. d. Problems with telecommunications service provider. e. Lack of instructional software. f. Software too complicated to use. g. Lack of time in school schedule. h. Telecommunications links not easily accessible. i. Telecommunications equipment not easily accessible. j. Lack of technical support or advice. k. Lack of administrative support or initiative. l. Lack of or inadequately trained staff. m. Lack of teacher interest. n. Lack of teacher awareness regarding ways to integrate telection into curriculum. o. Lack of student interest. p. Lack of parent or community interest. q. Not enough help for supervising student computer use. r. Concern about student access to inappropriate materials. s. Funds not specifically allocated for telecommunications. t. Variability of telecommunications rates from service provide u. Use of advanced telecommunications does not fit with the expolicy of this school. In what year was this school built? 19 | ommunic | atio | barrier 1 | barrier 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | barrier 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | barrier 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |

United States
Department of Education
Washington, DC 20208-5651

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Education Permit No. G-17

Third Class

